Investing for Success

Under this agreement for 2022 Mayfield State School will receive

\$97,240

This funding will be used to

-	M
Target	Measures
Class teachers drawing from a range of pedgagogical approaches (inquiry, explicit, investigative)	All class teachers have designed an inquiry unit within a learning area All class teachers incorporated student voice into content and planning of units Monitoring: - Increase in student enagagement (increase in attendance rates) - Student feeback on inquiry units
Develop a stronger alignment of curriculum and differentiated teaching for spelling	100% of class teachers are explicitly teaching spelling and allowing practise across the week Planning sessions allocated to year levels to develop clear learning intentions and success criteria. Monitoring: - Classroom walkthroughs observing teaching practices in spelling - Positive gains in English A-E data for all students - All students aware of and reaching spelling goals on a regular basis
Ensure student support in classrooms is maximised allowing for targeted teaching in reading and writing	All year levels are allocated additional teacher staffing to implement differentiated teaching. 100% of students are taught reading and writing in whole class and small targeted groups daily. Monitoring: Increase in percentage of students achieving A-E English Increase in reading skills indicated through PM and PROBE Positive relative gain in PAT-R data

Our initiatives include

Initiative	Evidence Base	
Upskill staff in a range of approaches to teaching – inquiry and investigative approaches	Murdoch, K 2015 The Power of Inquiry. Seastar education, Victoria Walker, K and Bass, S 2011 Engagement Matters: Personalised learning for Grades 3 to 6. ACER Press, Victoria	
Develop a school wide consistent approach to the teaching of spelling	Fisher, D, Frey, N and Hattie, J Visible Learning for Literacy. Corwin, Thousand Oakes, CA Cameron, S 2009 Teaching Reading comprehension strategies. Pearson, NZ	
Clear learning intentions and success criteria for students to self assess and determine personalised goals	Fisher, D, Frey, N and Hattie, J Visible Learning for Literacy. Corwin, Thousand Oakes, CA	
Additional staffing allocations to allow for coteaching models through the inclusion team (PRIDE) and team meetings	Sharratt, L and Harild G 2015 Good to Great to Innovate. Corwin, Thousand Oakes, CA	





Our school will improve student outcomes by **Actions** Costs Release time for classroom teachers for collaborative planning \$6,240 Additional staffing for the inclusion team (PRIDE) \$41,000 Teacher **Teacher Aide** Purchasing, staff professional development and school visits in local area to observe for \$35,000 innovative learning environments and pedagogy (inquiry and investigative approaches) Inquiry learning materials Relief Teacher costs for school visits Relief teacher costs for planning sessions with teams to design inquiry units Costs of professional development for staff Professional development costs for the teaching of spelling across the school \$5,000 Professional development, additional resources and school study tours for the teaching of \$10,000 reading and writing Total \$97,240

Andrew Male

Principal

Mayfield State School

Tony CookDirector-General
Department of Education



